IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DIANA RUDDY,)	
Plaintiff,)	C.A. No.:
v.)	
LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA,)))	
Defendant.))	

COMPLAINT FOR ERISA BENEFITS AND OTHER RELIEF

Plaintiff Diana Ruddy, as and for her complaint for recovery of ERISA benefits and other relief against Life Insurance Company of North America, alleges as follows:

Nature of the Action

- 1. This is an action seeking recovery of benefits under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §1001 *et seq.*, and other relief as described below. It arises from defendant Life Insurance Company of North America's wrongful refusal to pay disability benefits under a certain Group Policy of disability insurance.
- 2. This action seeks, among other relief, the recovery of benefits due to the plaintiff under the terms of the Group Policy, the enforcement of her rights under the Group Policy's terms, and recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(1).
- 4. Venue is properly placed in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) and (2).

The Parties

- 5. Plaintiff Diana Ruddy is a natural person residing at 1404 Madison Avenue,
 Harrington, DE 19952, and a former employee of Dover Downs Gaming and Entertainment, Inc.
 ("Dover Downs").
- 6. Life Insurance Company of North America ("LINA") is a Pennsylvania corporation with offices at 1601 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19192-2235. A subsidiary of CIGNA Corporation, LINA is engaged in the business of insurance, and regularly sells and issues contracts of insurance within the State of Delaware. LINA is subject to this Court's *in personam* jurisdiction.

The Insurance Contract

- 7. At all times relevant hereto, LINA contracted with Dover Downs to provide disability insurance to the latter's employees under LINA's Group Policy No. LK-970011 (the "policy").
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, LINA has provided group disability insurance to Ms. Ruddy, and pursuant to the policy.
- 9. Certain terms of the policy are applicable to this dispute. For example, the policy sets forth a "Definitions" section, providing the following definition of the terms "disability" and "disabled":

Disability/Disabled

For purposes of coverage under the Policy, you are Disabled if, because of Injury or Sickness, you are unable to perform all the material duties of your regular occupation, and solely due to Injury or Sickness, you are unable to earn more than 80% of your Indexed Covered Earnings from working in your regular occupation.

After Disability Benefits have been payable for 24 months, you are Disabled if your Injury or Sickness makes you unable to perform all the material duties of any occupation for which you may reasonably become qualified based on education, training or

experience, and solely due to Injury or Sickness, you are unable to earn more than 60% of your Indexed Covered Earnings.

- 10. Ms. Ruddy has satisfied, either directly or through Dover Downs, all conditions precedent to coverage under LINA's Group Policy No. LK-970011, including without limitation the timely payment of premiums and timely notice of claims.
- 11. The policy is a contract of adhesion, whose terms were not the subject of negotiation between LINA (on the one hand) and Dover Downs or its representatives (on the other).

Ms. Ruddy's Disability

- 12. In October 2001 Ms. Ruddy was employed with Dover Downs.
- 13. On or about October 21, 2001, Ms. Ruddy suffered a brachial plexus traction injury.
- 14. As a result of her October 2001 injury, Ms. Ruddy contracted the disorder commonly known as Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy (or "RSD").
- 15. RSD is a dangerous, progressive and potentially debilitating neuropathic disorder. Delaware's General Assembly has recognized these features of the disease, finding (as part of Senate Bill No. 67, 142nd Delaware General Assembly (2003)) that "a delay in diagnosis or treatment" for RSD "can result in severe physical . . . problems," while "early recognition and prompt treatment. . . provide the greatest opportunity for recovery. . . . "
 - 16. Since October 2001 Ms. Ruddy has been diagnosed with multiple sclerosis.
- 17. Multiple sclerosis is a degenerative disease of the central nervous system marked by patchy destruction of the myelin that surrounds and insulates nerve fibers. It is associated with partial or complete paralysis and jerking muscle tremor.

¹ The correct clinical name for this disorder is Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Type I. However, because the disorder is more commonly known as RSD, we refer to it here by that name.

Page 4 of 8

- 18. Since October 2001 Ms. Ruddy has been diagnosed with Major Depressive Disorder (recurrent and severe). Major Depressive Disorder is a serious mental illness, and among the leading causes of disability in the United States. It is common to RSD sufferers, and regarded in the medical community as an appropriate response to the debilitating effects of RSD.
- 19. As a result of the illnesses and injuries suffered by Ms. Ruddy since October 2001, she is disabled within the meaning of the policy.

LINA's Wrongful Conduct

A. The Original Application for Long-Term Disability Benefits

- 20. Ms. Ruddy has been disabled continuously from September 2003 to the present.
- 21. Ms. Ruddy's original application for long-term disability benefits under the policy was denied by LINA in or about May 2004.
- 22. LINA's handling of Ms. Ruddy's original application for long-term disability benefits betrayed its unwillingness to deal fairly with Ms. Ruddy, and its predisposition toward denying benefits.
- 23. Specifically, and in denying Ms. Ruddy's application for long-term disability benefits, LINA purchased and relied on the medical opinion of Dr. Robert Riederman. But a Delaware trial court has found that in a substantially similar setting -- where an insurance company paid Dr. Riederman for a supposedly "independent" medical evaluation of its insured --Dr. Riederman's opinions were so lacking in medical and scientific value as to be inadmissible in evidence under Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1973):

Daubert requires that the expert's reasoning be both scientifically valid and applicable to the facts of the case. The Court finds neither is the case here. It is *overwhelmingly clear* that Dr. Riederman's opinion on causation does not come close to being the product of a scientifically valid methodology or applicable to the facts at hand.

* * *

Dr. Riederman's opinion on causation cannot withstand *Daubert* scrutiny. It is premised on misinterpretations of clinical studies, a scientifically insupportable methodology, and a misinterpretation of Waddell signs. Moreover, the studies and Waddell testing which he claims support his causation opinion are simply inapplicable to the facts at issue.

Crowhorn v. Boyle, 793 A.2d 422, 433-34 (Del. Super. Ct. 2002) (emphasis added).

- 24. LINA's reliance on Dr. Riederman and his opinions, two years *after* his services as an "independent" medical evaluator were publicly discredited in the *Crowhorn* case, is a reflection of LINA's perverse and ethically suspect handling of Ms. Ruddy's claim.
- 25. LINA's denial of Ms. Ruddy's original application for long-term disability benefits was wrong, and was eventually reversed on appeal. As a result, LINA paid to Ms. Ruddy long-term disability benefits under Group Policy No. LK-970011 beginning March 1, 2004.

B. Ms. Ruddy's Current Condition

- 26. Ms. Ruddy's medical condition has not improved, but actually worsened, since March 2004.
- 27. The worsening of Ms. Ruddy's medical condition since March 2004 is an expected consequence of the progressive nature of RSD, and the degenerative nature of multiple sclerosis.
- 28. Among Ms. Ruddy's treating physicians is Robert J. Schwartzman, M.D. Chairman of the Drexel University College of Medicine's Neurology Department, Dr. Schwartzman enjoys an international reputation as one of the world's leading authorities on RSD. On information and belief, Dr. Schwartzman has authored over one hundred peer-reviewed books and articles on RSD and other topics related to the science of neurology. His scholarly writings have appeared in the *Journal of Thoracic and Cardio Surgery*, the *American Journal of Physical Medicine*, the

Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, the publications Neurology and Brain Research, and Nature magazine.

- 29. Dr. Schwartzman has opined that Ms. Ruddy is disabled from work.
- 30. Among Ms. Ruddy's treating physicians is Sonya Tuerff, M.D. of Delaware Vascular Associates in Newark, Delaware. Dr. Tuerff is board certified in general and vascular surgery, and serves on the staff of Christiana Hospital.
- 31. Dr. Tuerff has opined that Ms. Ruddy is disabled from work, and is not likely to "ever be able to work again."
- 32. Among Ms. Ruddy's care providers is Patricia A. Guarriello, Ph.D. of the Center for Human Development in Dover, Delaware. Ms. Guarriello has diagnosed Ms. Ruddy with Major Depressive Disorder (recurrent and severe).
- 33. Ms. Guarriello has determined that "[t]he simple activities of daily living that most people take for granted are at times insurmountable for Ms. Ruddy." Ms. Guarriello has further opined that she "would be unable to recommend that Ms. Ruddy return to the work force now or in the future."

C. The (Purported) March 2006 Denial of Disability Benefits

- 34. By letter dated June 21, 2007 from Diana Accetta, Disability Claim Manager for CIGNA Group Insurance, to Ms. Ruddy, LINA purported to deny all disability benefits to Ms. Ruddy effective March 8, 2006.
- 35. According to Ms. Accetta's June 21, 2007 letter, LINA purports to deny disability benefits to Ms. Ruddy on the basis of a review of Ms. Ruddy's medical records by an unidentified "Nurse Case Manager."

COUNT I

Recovery of ERISA Benefits

- 36. Ms. Ruddy repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35 above.
- 37. Under 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B), Ms Ruddy is entitled to recover benefits due to her under the policy's terms, including without limitation disability benefits for her continuing disability.

COUNT II

Attorneys' Fees

- 38. Ms Ruddy repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 37 above.
- 39. Under 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(1), Ms. Ruddy should be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Diana Ruddy respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against defendant Life Insurance Company of North America, as follows:

- a. Declaring that Ms. Ruddy is, and at all times relevant to this action has been, disabled within the meaning of Group Policy No. LK-970011;
 - b. Awarding to Ms. Ruddy the benefits due to her pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B);
- c. Awarding to Ms. Ruddy reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(1); and

d. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John S. Spadaro

John S. Spadaro, No. 3155 John Sheehan Spadaro, LLC 724 Yorklyn Road, Suite 375 Hockessin, DE 19707 (302)235-7745

March 27, 2008

Attorney for plaintiff Diana Ruddy

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

Case 1:08-cv-00174-SLR Decument 122 HEET 03/27/2008 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DEFENDANTS					
DIANA RUDDY				Life Insurance Company of North America						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kent County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)					Attorneys (If Known)					
	S. Spadaro, Esq., Jol 375, Hockessin, DE	nn Sheehan Spadaro, LL 19707	.C, 724 Yorklyn R	oad,						
					ITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1	U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		or Defenda PTF 4	nt) DEF □ 4
□ 2	U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	1 2 🗖 2	Incorporated and I		□ 5	5
Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III		o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	of Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a						
TV N	NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	Δ		oreign Country					
1 7 . 1	CONTRACT	TOR'		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
120	Insurance Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise EAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ott 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	1	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 560 Occupational Safety/Health 590 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Latigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	423 With	C 157 RTY RIGHTS rights it emark SECURITY (1395ff) L Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	480 Consum	t and Banking ree tition er Influence Organizationer Credit at TV e e Service es/Commo e er Challeng 3410 tatutory Actautory Acts ic Stabilizamental M. Allocation of Inform of Fee Detequal Acces titionality of titionality of titionality of titionality of titionality of the service Serv	ged and ons dities/ ge etions ation Act atters Act nation ermination is
V. O	Original	tate Court A	Appellate Court	Reo	nstated or beautiful another specific s	ferred from er district ify)	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict 🗖 7 N	Appeal to udge fror Aagistrate udgment	n e
VI. (CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat 29 U.S.C. §1001 of Brief description of cau		re filing	(Do not cite jurisdiction	ai statutes u	niess diversity):			
****	DECLIFICATION IN	Plaintiff seeks rec	covery of disability							
	REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	, D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain No	ıt:
VIII.	RELATED CASI	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD										
FOR O	OFFICE USE ONLY									
REC	CEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.